

TD WORKING GROUP TD CANDIDATE PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FORM

NAME OF TD CANDIDATE:			
ADDRESS:			
CITY:	STATE:	col	JNTRY:ZIP:
TELEPHONE: (WORK)		(Ho	OME)
(CELL):		<u> </u>	
(FAX):		E-MAIL:	
NAME OF EVALUATOR:			U.S. or FIS TD #
ADDRESS:			
CITY:	STATE:		COUNTRY:ZIP:
TELEPHONE: (WORK)		(HOME)	
(CELL):		<u> </u>	
(FAX):		E-MAIL:	
SITE OF ASSIGNMENT:			COUNTRY:
GENDER: M L	_		
EVENT(S): SL GS_	sg [DH PL	DATE(S)

TO THE EVALUATOR OF THE ABOVE U.S. TECHNICAL DELEGATE CANDIDATE:

REVIEW THIS EVALUATION FORM BEFORE THE COMPETITION SO AS TO INSURE A FAIR EVALUATION OF EACH ITEM.

PLEASE COMPLETE THIS EVALUATION FORM AS SOON AS POSSIBLE AFTER THE COMPLETION OF THE COMPETITION (IMMEDIATELY AFTER THE EVENT IS SUGGESTED) SO THE INFORMATION IS STILL FRESH IN YOUR MIND.

PLEASE COMPLETE EACH SECTION AS COMPLETELY AS POSSIBLE. IF FOR SOME REASON YOU ARE NOT ABLE TO EVALUATE A CERTAIN ITEM, NOTE IT AS SUCH.

ONE COPY OF THIS COMPLETED EVALUATION FORM, ATTACHED TO THE CANDIDATE'S RACE RESULT PACKET, MUST BE SUBMITTED TO THE APPLICABLE REGIONAL/DIVISIONAL/STATE ALPINE OFFICIALS' CHAIR.

EVALUATION AND CANDIDATE'S REPORTS ARE NOT TO BE SUBMITTED TO U.S. SKI AND SNOWBOARD.

A.		EVENT COORDINATION WITH EVALUATOR: NITIATED CONTACT WELL IN ADVANCE OF EVENT	YES	NO	
	2. C	COMPLETED PRE-EVENT INSPECTION TRIP (DH/SG)	YES	NO	
	(I	ARRIVED AT EVENT SITE IN ADVANCE OF EVENT DH/SG: 48 hours before the draw for the first training. a all other events: 24 hours before the draw for the event) S. Alpine Competition Regulations 2.1.4.4.3	YES	NO	
	4. A	ARRIVED PROPERLY PREPARED (FORMS, ETC.)	YES	NO	
		ROVIDED NOTICE TO CHIEF OF RACE OF ASSIGNMENT ARRIVAL INFORMATION, ETC.	YES	NO	
IF ANY ITEM	IS ARE I	MARKED "NO", PLEASE EXPLAIN:			
CANDIDATE	MEETS	REQUIREMENTS: CANDIDATE DOES NOT MI	EET REQUIRE	MENTS:	
EV	ALUA	TION SCALE FOR RATING A TD CANDIDAT	E'S PERF	ORMAN	CE
1. EXCELLE	NT 2.	PROFICIENTLY 3. ADEQUATELY 4. NEEDS MORE EXPE	ERIENCE 5.	NOT EVAL	JATED
В.	1. CC SK (<i>T</i> ab	DIDATE'S ON - HILL PERFORMANCE ONSIDERING ALL ASPECTS OF TERRAIN AND SNOW COND KIING ABILITY IS COMMENSURATE WITH REQUIREMENTS OF TO Candidates need not be racers. They should, however be consistent to ski all types of course terrain well enough that they re considered and race organizers.)	OF THE EVENT able to demor	strate the	() mpetitors
	2. CA	ANDIDATE HAS THE ABILITY TO PERFORM KNOWLEDGEAE	BLE COURSE I	NSPECTIO	N ()
	3. CA	ANDIDATE HAS KNOWLEDGE OF PROPER TECHNIQUES OF	COURSE PRE	PARATION	()
	4. CA	ANDIDATE HAS KNOWLEDGE OF PROPER / TIMELY USE OF	CHEMICALS		()
		ANDIDATE HAS THE ABILITY TO RECOGNIZE COMPETITOR COBLEMS THAT MAY RESULT FROM COURSE SETTING	ON-HILL SEC	JRITY	()
	_	INDIDATE HAS THE ABILITY TO RECOGNIZE PROBLEMS TO	HAT MAY RES	ULT FROM	()
	7. CA	ANDIDATE HAS THE ABILITY TO MAKE SUGGESTIONS TO R	ESOLVE PRO	BLEMS	()
	8. CA	ANDIDATE HAS CREDIBILITY WITH JURY, COACHES AND C	OURSE PERSO	ONNEL	()
IF NECESSA	ARY, JUS	STIFY RESPONSE. ITEMS MARKED "NOT EVALUATED" MU	ST BE JUSTIF	IED:	
CANDIDATE	MEETS	REQUIREMENTS: CANDIDATE DOES NOT MI	EET REQUIRE	MENTS:	

2 U.S.TD.2025

IF NECESS	SARY, JUSTIFY RESPONSE. ITEMS MARKED "NOT EVALUATED" MUST BE JUSTIFIED:	
	3. CANDIDATE KNOWS THE PROCEDURES FOR HANDLING PROTESTS	
	2. CANDIDATE UNDERSTANDS THE GENERAL WORKING AND FUNCTION OF THE RACE JURY AND THE SPECIFIC FUNCTIONS OF EACH JURY MEMBER	
E.	KNOWLEDGE AND APPLICATION OF RULES 1. CANDIDATE DEMONSTRATES KNOWLEDGE OF RULES FOR THE ASSIGNED EVENT(S	s) ()
CANDIDAT	E MEETS REQUIREMENTS: CANDIDATE DOES NOT MEET REQUIREMENTS: _	
	ART, GOOTH T REGIONOL. TEMO MARKED NOT EVALUATED MOOT DE GOOTH IED.	
IE NECESS	5. CANDIDATE'S RESPECT FOR JURY, TEAM CAPTAINS, RACE SECRETARIAT SARY, JUSTIFY RESPONSE. ITEMS MARKED "NOT EVALUATED" MUST BE JUSTIFIED:	
	4. CANDIDATE'S DEGREE OF ENTHUSIASM AND INVOLVEMENT IN EVENT	
	3. CANDIDATE'S CONDUCT AT JURY AND TEAM CAPTAINS' MEETINGS	(
	2. CANDIDATE'S OVERALL PERSONAL APPEARANCE	(
D.	PERSONAL CONDUCT 1. CANDIDATE'S AVAILABILITY DURING SPAN OF COMPETITION	(
CANDIDAT	E MEETS REQUIREMENTS: CANDIDATE DOES NOT MEET REQUIREMENTS: _	
IF NECESS	SARY, JUSTIFY RESPONSE. ITEMS MARKED "NOT EVALUATED" MUST BE JUSTIFIED:	
	5. CANDIDATE HAS THE ABILITY TO GATHER AND SOLICIT OPINIONS FROM OTHERS	(
	4. CANDIDATE HAS THE ABILITY TO DEMONSTRATE SOUND JUDGEMENT REGARDING COURSE SETTING AND PREPARATION	
	3. CANDIDATE'S JUDGEMENT REFLECTS A SOLID BACKGROUND OF EXPERIENCE	(
	2. CANDIDATE HAS THE ABILITY TO ANTICIPATE SITUATIONS AND HEAD OFF THE DEVELOPMENT OF POTENTIAL PROBLEMS	(
C.	DECISION - MAKING AND JUDGEMENT ABILITIES 1. CANDIDATE HAS THE ABILITY TO MAKE TIMELY AND INFORMED DECISIONS	(

3 U.S.TD.2025

F.	ADMINISTRATIVE PERFORMANCE 1. CANDIDATE DEMONSTRATES / UNDERSTANDS PROPER USE OF ALL FORMS / DOCUMENTS	YES	NO
	2. CANDIDATE IS FAMILIAR WITH COMPUTER SCORING CAPABILITIES	YES	NO
	3. CANDIDATE PROPERLY CALCULATED RACE POINTS FOR TOP 10 RACERS	YES	NO
	4. CANDIDATE PROPERLY CALCULATED U.S. RACE PENALTY	YES	NO
	5. CANDIDATE PROPERLY COMPLETED CANDIDATE TO REPORT	YES	NO
	6. CANDIDATE REVIEWED TD REPORT AND RESULTS WITH EVALUATOR	YES	NO
	7. CANDIDATE WORKED CONSTRUCTIVELY WITH RACE SECRETARIAT	YES	NO
IF NECESSA	RY, JUSTIFY RESPONSE. ITEMS MARKED "NOT EVALUATED" MUST BE JUSTIFIE	D:	
CANDIDATE	MEETS REQUIREMENTS: CANDIDATE DOES NOT MEET REQUIREME	ENTS: _	
CANI	C'S RECOMMENDATION: DIDATE HAS SUCCESSFULLY MET ALL REQUIREMENTS: DIDATE MUST BE RE-EVALUATED ON THE FOLLOWING SECTIONS:		
	C'S GENERAL COMMENTS ON CANDIDATE'S PERFORMANCE <u>or</u> justific DING RE-EVALUATION:	CATION	FOR
DATE:	U.S./FIS TECHNICAL DELEGATE EVALUATOR:	#	

PLEASE FORWARD THIS FORM ATTACHED TO A U.S. RACE RESULT PACKET THAT HAS BEEN ASSEMBLED BY THE CANDIDATE TO THE CANDIDATE'S APPLICABLE REGIONAL/DIVISIONAL/STATE ALPINE OFFICIALS CHAIRMAN.

4 U.S.TD.2025